G. R. S. IX—5]

Previous references

Med. 46-20 Sh.
1/12/16 & 25

Later references

Gen 97-38 Sh 748

[Preserved]

Med

File No. 44-20

Serial Nos. 9614.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for June 26.

SUBJECT

Requests that the Comptroller may be authorised to advance the claim for refund of the pay amount to drawn as pay of the Lady Asst Surgeon on the basis of the G.I. of 3rd Ap. 23 & Addl to the 30 of 26 Jan 24

H. 14.

To

The Senior Secy

" The Comptroller to Govt. Med'

the Financial Secy.

Directs that no refund of the amount drawn by the Lady Asst Surgeon, under the circumstances, be made.

G.O. No. h. 9450-1

D/2/7 June 24.

Re
26/6/24

54.27

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 44 of 1920

Serial No. 9

From the Comptroller B/w
no 1455/ADB. dt 18.6.21
Regd the enhanced
Tem. to pay was also
[illegible]

Subd. The pay of Lady Sub. Asst Surgeon as sanctioned in Govt no G. 1964-74/med 52-19 dt 27.7.1920 ~~was~~ is Rs 50 rising to Rs 9 with two merit appointments of Rs 100 each. This did not include addition to pay as it was sanctioned in october 1921. The addition to pay was not ~~cut~~ taken into calculation, as it was unaffected by the revision & as it was likely to cause some confusion. Kde enclosure ~~to~~ letter dt 28-5-/30-8 october 1922 from the Surgeon General. The Lady Sub Asst Surgeons are entitled to addition to pay as their scale of pay was fixed before the passing of G.O. sanctioning the additions to pay.

Subd with a draft for approval & orders.

Cy 2

27.5.23

22/5/23

Second member) 2/ Approved

60
1-6-23

3) Issue at once

4.6.23

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Med File No. 44 of 1920

Serial No.	
10	To the Comptroller no L7876 D 5-6-23. States that they are entitled to addition to pay sanctioned in Fo D 9-10-21 To the Sr Surgeon no L7877 D 5-6-23 Dd Copy of the above for information. From the Senior Surgeon in Mysore no Q. C. 83-8 D 29th/30th May 23 Requests that for reasons given approval for a statement which supplies certain omissions in the Fo no K6E 04 med 4(1) 20 D 3rd/5th April 1923. 4) Subd. The scale of increment sanctioned to Lady Sub Asst Surgeons is Rs 8 for every 3 years as sanctioned in Fo no 19664-74/med 8'2-19-3 D 27.7.19 The Senior Surgeon recommends the grant of the increments with effect from the date of the commencement of service of these Lady Sub Asst Surgeons. The Fo D 3rd/5th April 23 sanctioning the allocation gives the benefit of the orders

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Med File No. 44 of 1920

Serial No.

from 27th July 1920, on date of the sanction for a separate cadre for Lady Doctors. The Senior Surgeon states that this works as a hardship & submits for sanction a revised statement showing the present pay & date of next increment

2/ With regard to the granting additional pay as above already been communicated to the Senior Surgeon & the Controller in letter no 2. 7876/ Med. 44-20-10 of 5-6-21.

3/ Submitted with draft for approval of Senior Surgeon's proposal. The Financial Branch may be consulted if approved.

RL 4/6 The file need not be consulted.
15/6/21 The order will issue through that Br.

The Senior Surgeon solicits orders as regards (1) date of next increment of pay in each case (2) personal allowance of Mrs. Balasundaramma & (3) permanent additions to pay sanctioned in the G.O. of 5th Oct 21.

2) As regards (1), no mention was made in the order already issued inasmuch as the earning of increments and the period for

NOTE—Contn. sheet

6152 (4

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Med File No. 44 of 1920.

Serial No.

which then are cannot be regulated by the direction contained in the G.O. of 27. July 20.. As the appointments of Lady Lst Asst Surgeons are not those coming under the study ports carrying programme scales of pay, it is not correct to say that each officer has to wait for three years from 27th July 20 to earn an increment. Pay gets automatically increased ~~subject to~~ according to the period of approved service under the G.O of 27.7.20. However to clear the doubt raised by the Senior Surgeon and to avoid further reference to Govt. in cases in which increments have fallen due more than a year ago, a draft order is put up for approval..

(2) As regards (2) the personal allowance of Mrs Balasundaramma has been incorporated as suggested

(3) Re (3) the question of permanent addition to pay, & instructions have already been issued to the Comptroller.

(4) The draft order may be approved

Second Member / 21.9.23.

620 22/6/23

(5) Draft. appd which may be sent to the Concerned / Dist Finance

Secy

22/9

23/6/23

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

615-V (S

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. [illegible] of 19 22

Serial No.

1.39

71! 2351 dy 25.6.23

For favour of concurrence before issue.

[illegible] Secy

CSJ 25.6.23

[illegible] 27.6.23

1) The following points are not clear to me
1. I do not understand why last dates are shown as Dates of next increment in the Establishment Sent with S.S's letter dy. 30.5.23
If the increments were due on those dates why have they not been given? Is the present proposal to give retrospective increments from past dates noted?
2. The revised pay of Mr. Amba bai is shown as 60+10 in S.P. dy 3-4-23 while it is now shown as 55+10 in the Senior Surgeons proposal. Why this reduction is made is not clear

7) 3. The Senior Surgeon states the the principle is enunciated that the pay of each lady S.I.S in any date subsequent to the formation of the cadre s/d be what she would have drawn had she been started on the minimum pay of the revised Scale. Where this principle is laid down may be stated [illegible]

REVENUE & JUDICIAL SECRETARIAT'S [illegible] 1923 LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue / Secretariat. | Med File No. 44 of 1920

Serial No.

16] Please note on the points raised in the Fin: Secretary's note

CSB 10.7.20

1st Subd. The increase noted in the Financial Secretary's note in paras 15 and 17 above has been detailed in paras 63 & 78 of the Medical Collection 44 of 20. Though the proposals for the allocation of Lady medical officers in the posts sanctioned to them in G.O. dt 27.7.1920 was received as early as about 7th August 1920, the order sanctioning the same could not be passed till April 1923, owing to the difficulty in reconciling the existing pay of the Lady officers with that sanctioned in the order and the delay in correspondence. ~~on the matter~~ Higher pay has therefore been recommended ~~to be allowed~~ retrospectively.

IV

J.

Lady

2d] Again the gentlemen Sub Assts Surgs while being given benefit of the reorganisation scheme sanctioned in 1918 have been promoted ~~prior~~ retrospectively not as if they had been started in service on the minimum of the revised pay sanctioned under the reorganisation

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

615

(7

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Med File No. 44 of 19 20

Serial No.

rising to Rs 65

reorganisation viz Rs 357 A[illegible] when the minimum pay of Sub Asst Surgeon was raised to Rs 45, promotions were given ~~as~~ given on the same principle as in G.O. no 2206-F/ med 46-20-2 dated May 1921. The promotions now recommended to Sub Asst Surgeon have similarly to be retrospective, giving to each officer the benefit of the revised pay on the time scale fixed as in G.O. of 29th July 1920 with effect from the date of order.

D

2) With refce to para 16 above, the pay of mrs. Amba Bai was fixed at 60 in the G.O. as she had been stated on a pay higher than the minimum i.e. on Rs 40. But the Senior Surgeon has fixed it at Rs 55 on the ground that the principle refd to above gives benefit on the time scale without any refce to the minimum of the pay on which an officer might have been stated.

fc 366/23

Submd for order.

30/7/23

15/7/23

Asst Secretary

C.S.
20.F.VS.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

[illegible]

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Med File No. 11. of 1920

Serial No.

24/ Subd for orders until the operation
of the financial scale

1781
19/7/25 in

25/ Please refer to the two points
raised by the Fin: Secretary's note of 16 Sept 25.

CSH
27.9.25

26/ Subd The proposal does not involve
the payment of increased pay for the period
in 27 to Feb 1920, the date before
of the order sanctioning a separate
cadre to the Lady Medical Officers.
The block pay was sanctioned to make
Sub Asst Surgeons in Case where the
raising of the minimum pay of Sub Asst
Surgeons from Rs 35 to Rs 45 acted as a
hardship to the men already in service
vide G.O. no G. 2206-9 / Med 46-20-25 of the
21st May 1925.

27 Subd for orders as to whether
the draft may issue
28. Refer para 23.

Fin: Secretary
13.10.25

29. May issue. Whether the
personal allce of Rs 10 to Mrs Balasundaram
in no 2 in para 2 of the draft order should
not be reduced by the amount of increment
drawn 130 & have nothing to add. 16/10/25
to my note of 16.9.25

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

3152 (10

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Med File No. 44 of 1924.

Serial No.

38) Subd. In para 23, the Financial Secretary has ordered that the proposal may be sanctioned if it does not involve the payment of back pay. It is not possible to know to what period the "back pay" relates, whether it is to the period before 27th July 1920 — the date of the sanctioning of the new cadre or 3rd April 23, the date of the allocation of the L.M. & L.M. Asst Surgeons in the new grade sanctioned for them. The date will be had to be fixed at the earlier date viz 27th July 20, as similar concession was shown to gentlemen Medical Officers. If approved, this file may again be referred to the Financial Secretary for furnishing opinion on this matter.

Submitted for orders.

5/12/23

10. 12. 23.

39) Cadre worker to bring up pursuant to Govt Ltr dt 8-12-23.

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT
Fe 1047/23
11. DEC. 1923

Dy Secy
Fin Secy
Secy to Govt

40) Back pay for the period prior to 1/10/23 may not be sanctioned and any excess charge involved by the proposal should be covered by a definite reappn

10.12.23
11/12/23

12/12/23

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mied File No. 444 of 1924

Serial No.

44/ A revised draft is submitted for approval or other orders, incorporating the changes suggested in para 1, 2 & 4 of the Senior Surgeon's letter. As the Financial Secretary has objected in para 5 alone to the giving of past dates to the dates of next increments, the pay of each of the Sub Asst Surgeon is revised and fixed at the amount at which each would have got had the increments started in service on the present scale and the date of next increment has been given at future dates corresponding to the ~~each~~ entry of each into service. Subject to orders

JRM 10.1.24 JPK 45/ Reply Para 43. CPS 10.1.24.

8/1

Fe 1305/23 Fin. 15. JAN. 1924

Fin. Secretary

46/ First number Mr. Sec. C.L. 8/1

47/ I have expressed my views already. I shall be obliged if the same cases are not referred to me twice, once for opinion and again for scrutiny of draft. If further consultation is intended the points or results of ref may be

12/1 CPS 15/1 B.1.24

NOTE---Contn. sheet.

615-C

13)

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | New: File No. 44 of 1920.

Serial No.	
	Indicate [illegible] 16/1/24
	4) Subd funders with refer to para 6 above HH 16.1.24 HMK
17	approved the file will now be submitted to the First Member & 2 Secretary) CSB 18.1.24.
	First Member. 19/1 CSB 19.1
	5) Issue CSB 21.1.24
57	The case is in accordance with F/D opinion dt 12.XII.23 — Page 10
	5) Draft d/ for communicating the order Submitted. 20/1
	5) Communicate 26/1
	Compliance noted 4.1.24

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Med File No. Ldc of 1920

1115/16

Serial No.	
12	To, The Senior Surgeon & the Comptroller thro' Surl Secty No. L 5911 12/d 26/28 . 1. 24 Sanctions the recommendation of the Senior Surgeon to fix the pay of Some of the Lady Sub Assistant Surgeons at the rates recommended by him with effect from 3-4-23 and directs that the increase in back pay prior to 3rd April 1923 need not be given and that they draw their increments with effect from the dates noted against each.
13	From the Senior Surgeon No. 83-M 721-22 d 18. 3. 24 Recommends that the Comptroller may be authorised to draw the claim for the refund of the increments drawn by the Lady Sub Asst Surgeons

REVENUE SECRETARIAT. 8 MAR. 1924 GENERAL LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

P. 1964-74/Med 52-19-3
d 27. 3. 1920
Q

P.U.D I

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Sub'd. A G.O. sanctioning a revised cadre of Lady Medical Officers having issued in July 1920, a G.O. confirming the allocation of Lady Sub Asst Surgeons was issued in G.O. No L. 6806-74/Med Miscl-8 d 3rd/5th April 1921. The Senior Surgeon thereupon wrote that the above 1/2

4152
5364

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Revd File N. 44 of 1920

Serial No.

omitted to include certain items of
in date grant increment, ~~the pay~~
~~above~~ ~~Allowances~~ additions to pay and
personal allowance sanctioned to Mrs M.
Balasundaramma, Men calculating
upon the length of service ~~it was~~ found that
increments fell on ~~that~~ dates, ~~and the~~
Arrangement of 21.1.1920 sent before 3-4-1923 and the financial Secretary to whom the file
Para 15-17 above was referred objected to that ~~it was~~ stated
that the ... amended
Para 23: must be sanctioned, if pay for the
period before the 3rd April 1923 ~~is~~
not ~~be~~ given. A revised draft incor-
porating the changes suggested by the Accountant
Surgeon & the opinion of the Financial
Secretary issued fixing the pay of those
officers at what they would have been
drawing had they been started on the
in service with the revised scale of pay and the dates of
increments were fixed at future dates.
M Vide/10 no 374-12/Revd 46-20-12 dt 26.1.24)
Hence the Comptroller's objection. Asked for
views as to whether the Comptroller
may be authorised to waive the claim for
the refund as recommended by the Accountant
Surgeon.

26/3/24

27

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Med File No. 46 of 19 24

Serial No.

As in their order of 3rd April 1923, fixing the pay of the following 5 Lady Lieut Col Surgeons as follows from 29-7-20.

1. Miss S. Paul. 100 + 10 SA.
2. Mrs Balasundaramma 70 + 10 SA
3. Mrs Amba Bai 60 + 10 SA
4. Mrs Murphy 55 + 10 SA
5. Mrs Joaquim 50 + 10

in accordance with the order of 27th July 1920 sanctioning a separate Cadre for Lady Medical Officers

5-7-1 On the receipt of the S. Surgeon requesting that their next increment date may be fixed, with reference to their total service of three years, fixed in order 3 vide 24 ~~Lieut Col Murphy of Miss Amba Bai~~ ~~after their date~~ fixed their date from which the ~~increased~~ revised pay should be drawn as also their increments as follows.

	Revised pay the drawn from 27/4/23	date of next increment
1. Miss S. Paul	100 + 10 SA 110	Nil
2. Mrs Balasundaramma	55 + 10 + 10 SA = 95	1.11.25
3. Mrs Amba Bai	60 + 10 SA = 70	24.7.24
4. Mrs Murphy	60 + 10 SA = 70	10.12.25
5. Mrs Joaquim	55 + 10 SA = 65	1.5.23

At the time of the revision their pay was fixed at Rs 85, 70, 60, 60 & 60 respectively.

From the Govt's letter it is seen

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Test File No. 116 of 1920.

Serial No.

Nos 2 1, 3 and 4 have drawn the increased pay from 27-7-20 vide Memo dtd 8/4/23. & as it is ordered that the extra arrears should be given back pay the Govt has proposed that the total amount drawn by them & the total shown against each be ordered to be refunded.

5) The Finance Dept has stated that their orders over the increments on the authority of the order of 3rd April 1923 fixed their pay from 27/7/20 though not the date of increment. and instead of asking them to refund the amount ~~to be paid~~; the claim for refund may be ordered to be waived.

6) In the case of Mrs Banks, the claim to be refunded is Rs 672-3-8 & Mrs Ambabai & Mrs Murphy have to refund Rs 302-14-4 & 166-0-10 each. They may not be able to refund the amount in a lump. If the recommendation for waiving is not to be granted for, the amount may perhaps be ordered to be refunded in

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 44 of 1920

Serial No.

Small instalments

L & L Dept.

7/4/24

8786
10/4

They may be ordered to repay by instalments of 20 or 15 Rs a month

1st Member

by the Financial Secretary 9/4
Concurred. 9/4

10/4.

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT
7 2060
17. APR. 1924

Secretary

16/4

7/4/24

NOTE—Contn. sheets.

5364 120

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. of 19

Serial No.

the other two. This result cannot be helped in the circumstances as it is not right to permit the other two persons also to draw the enhanced rates from 27th July 1920. The Senior Surgeon's recommendation has, in the circumstances, to be accepted

23/4/21

65 Sd/ in opinion of Mr Finlay

AS 23/4/21

Sd/ 24/4/21

66 Submitted with reference to Branch

9355 21/4

Sd/ Member 24/4

The Financial Secretary's remarks accepted

Sd/ 21/5

Sd/ 21/5

Necessary Draft

29 VPS

Draft for subt. The remaining two Sub-Asst Surgeons did not draw

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Med File No. 464 of 1923

Serial No.

any excess amount perhaps because the rates of pay sanctioned by them with GI of 3rd/8th April 1923 corresponded with the pay they were then drawing at that time

3/8/23

To whom may be appointed

Land Holders)

28/8

abd.

29/8.

29/8

The order is in accordance with the GI opinion of 23.6.24 — Page 194 20

(1922-23

Draft for communicating the orders herewith —

6/6/24

4/6

To the Comptroller.

GI 6605 dated 6.6.24

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

17 JUN 1924

LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

14

no L 9450-1 dt 27.6.24. to D. Nagpur

Comptr Nagpur Civil Secrt. Directs that no

refund of the amount need be made

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

17604

(1)

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Med 44-20-9

In reply please refer to No. 1452 TAD B

19 APR 1923

Comptroller's Office, Bangalore,

Dated the 18th April *192* 3.

L 5428-11

Rajakaryaprasaktha
M. N. Krishna Rao Esq., B.A.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Order No.L.6804-5/ Med.44-20-8 dated 3rd April 1923 sanctioning the allocation of the Lady Sub Assistant Surgeons in the cadre of Lady Medical Officers as per Government Order No.1964-74/Med.52-19-3 dated 27th July 1920, I have the honor to state that in the Government Order of April 1923 fixing the revised pay of the Lady Sub Assistant Surgeons with effect from 27th July 1920, the addition to pay in lieu of High Price Allowance sanctioned in October 1921 is omitted. I therefore request that you will be so good as to intimate if the addition to pay may be allowed in the case of the above Sub Assistant Surgeons.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Asst. Comptroller.

CV/18-4

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 5625

Section Med
File No. 44-20
Serial No. 10

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the *191* .

No. L7876

Date of issue 5/6/23

Signed by for CER

To The Com H. ...

Sir

With reference to your ...
No 1453 MD B, of 10.4.23
regarding the allocation of Lady
~~Medical Officers~~ and Asst
in the grade sanctioned by now,
Surgeons, I am directed to state
that as the ~~rate~~ scale of pay
of these officers was fixed
before the passing of the 8th
Order sanctioning addition
of pay as their pay range

over.

7

4

from Rs 80 to Rs 90 with two
rise allowances on Rs 100 each
per month. They are entitled
to the additions to pay on the
scale sanctioned in G.O. No.
H.P. 6-551/54-A.S-21-11 X

I have etc

Chief Secy to Govt
31.5.55
Revn No. 7877-S.S-6/55.

By order etc

Forwarded to Government
for information.

List of enclosures.

Nil.

Chief
31.5.55
Asst Secy to Govt

Copied by

Examined by

ame.	Pay prior to revision. Excluding High pay allowance Rs.	Revised pay from 22-7-1926. Rs.	Date of next increment.
1. Miss. S. Paul.	70 + 15	100 + 10	
2. Mrs. Balasundarmma.	65 + 10 + 1,	70 + 10 + 10	1-11-22 ✓
3. Mrs. Amba Bai.	6 + 15	65 + 10	24-7-21 ✓
4. Mrs. A. C. Murphy.	40 + 15	63 + 10	10-12-22 ✓
5. Miss. Joacquium.	45 + 15	50 + 10	1-9-20 (at 55 + 10). ✓
	60	60	60

angalore,
29 5-1923.
S.V.A.

D Williamson
Ag. Senior Surgeon.

4 Sw. V

G.R..S VI G 34]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Revised draft

Diary No. of letter replied to... 6152 22

Section Med
File No. 44-20
Serial No. 12

...eral and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *192*

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

Date of issue 26/1/24

Signed by

Lr no 3942-5/ med 57-5.
25 dated 2nd Dec 1916
sanctioning the grant of a
personal allowance of Rs 10
to Lady Sub Asst Surgeon Mrs.
M. Balasundaramma with
effect from 1st Sept 1915.

2. Lr. no 4 1964-7/ med
52-19-3 dated
~~Order Thereon No~~
the 27th July 1920 sanction
ing a separate cadre for Lady
Medical Officers & fixing
therein the pay of Lady
Sub Asst Surgeons at Rs 50

dusing

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette,
English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette,
Kannada Part ?

2

Increase to Rs^c to Judge
appointments on Rs 100 each
as mentioned.

3. Govt no L. 6804-5/med
44-20-8 dt 1st April 1923
sanctioning the allocation
of Lady Sub Asst Surgeons
in the grade sanctioned to
men in the above order with
effect from 27th July 1920

4. Letter no L. 7876/med
44-20-10 dt 5th June 1923
to the Comptroller to Govt
stating that the Lady Sub
Asst Surgeons are entitled
entitled to the additions
to pay on the scale men-
tioned in Govt no H. C. 6-55/
LA. 5-21-11 dt 9th
October 1921 as were granted
to male having been fixed before
the Govt order sanctioning the
addition to pay was passed

5. Letter

H

G

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1883—GPB—1,000,00—21-12-21

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Communication sheet draft form.*)

S. Letter no. G. C. 83–8 dated
the 9th / 13th inst. from the
Senior Surgeon at Mussoorie re.
any sanction for persons given
~~the~~ a revised scale of pay, to which
they ... and ... would be entitled, had the
increments started in the service with
the minimum of the revised
scale ~~to~~ the date on which
the next increment falls due
in ... case.

Order no L S 911–12

The Senior Surgeon states
that ~~the~~ date on which the next
increment falls due has not
been touched upon in the
letter under reply, the Assistant Surgeon
will all be entitled to their
next increments with effect
from 27th July 1923, ~~although~~ and not earlier, ~~having~~ ~~any~~ reference to their total
services

WD 2230—GPB—1,00,000—23-7-20

10/

services rendered. He further states that the personal allowance of Rs 10 granted to Mrs. Balasundaram escaped mention in his previous letter dated. His present pay was wrongly reported as Rs 55 instead of Rs 65. Lastly he states that the question of pay has not been included in the pay fixed sanctioned for each of the Sub Asst Surgeons. He accordingly recommends that their pay be fixed at the amount which they would have earned had they been started in it and fixes the dates on which their next increments fall due.

sanctioned in G.O. No. 55 dated 5-21-11 & 7 Oct 21

On a careful consideration of all the facts of the case Government are pleased to sanction the pay of the Lady Sub Asst Surgeons as noted below and to direct that they draw their next increments with effect from

the increase in pay for the period prior to 3rd Apl 1923 need not be given and

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

in dates noted against each in lieu thereof contained in para 1 of his 2nd letter to be read above:—

No	Name	Pay prior to revision of 27.7.192	Pay as ~~modified~~ sanctioned in G.O. of 3rd Nov. (Pay to take effect from 27.7.20)	Revised pay with effect from 3rd April 20 (now sanctioned)	Date of next increment
		Rs	Rs	Rs	—
1.	Miss S. Paul	75+15 SA	100+10 SA	~~to~~ 100 + 10 SA.	
2.	Mrs Balasundaramma	65+10 PA +15 SA	70+10+10 PA SA	75+10 PA +10 SA	1.11.1925
3.	Mrs. Ramoa Bai	45+15 SA	55+10 SA	60+10 SA	24.7.24
4.	Miss Murphy	45+15 SA	55+10 SA	60+10 SA	10.12.25
5.	Miss Joachim	45+15 SA	50+10 SA	55+10 SA	1.9.23

2. The question of additions to pay has already been disposed of in my office letter no L. 7876/ mcd 44-20-10 G on 5th June 1923 read above and the directions therein given that the

3. The Senior Surgeon requested to propose a definite reappropriation for meeting the extra cost, if involved in giving effect to

* Sub Asst Surgeons are entitled to the addition to pay holds good.

6 12

to this order

Secy

Cpl L.+L. 5th Secretary to Post

HK 10.1.24.
7.1.24

To the Secretary to Mayor
& Comptroller to Post
this Financial Secretary

HSM
5.1.24

15)

List of Lady Sub Assistant Surgeon.

No.	Name	Date of joining service	Total service on 27-7-20	Total service on 27-7-23	Pay entitled in the usual course as per service on 27-7-20	Pay entitled in the usual course as per service on 27-7-23.	Pay according to G. O. of. 3-4-23 to take effect from 27-7-20	Pay according to G. O. of. 26-1-24 to take effect from 3-4-1923.
			Y. M. D.	Y.M.D.				
1.	Miss S.Paul	25-6-1891	29- 1 -	32 1 -	90/-	90/-	100/-	100/-*
2.	Mrs.Balasundaramma	1-11-07	12-7-26	15-7-26	70-10	75-10	70-10	75-10
3.	Mrs.Ambabai	24-7-15	4-11-27	7-11-27	55/-	60/-	55/-	60/-
4.	Mr.A.C.Murphy	10-12-16	3-7-17	6-7-17	55/-	60/-	55/-	60/-
5.	Miss A.Joaquim	1-9-17	2-10-26	5-10-26	50/-	55/-	50/-	55/-

* Prize appointment.

L.D. Mythogenum
Senior Surgeon.

Letter No. T.A.D.B. dated 29th Feb. 1924 from the Comtroller to the Governmentof Mysore to the Senior Surgeon in Mysore, Bangalore.

Adverting to Government Order No. L.5911-12 Med. 44-20-12 dated 26th Jan. 1924, directing that increase of pay sanctioned to Lady Sub Assistant Surgeon as per Government Order No. L. 6804-5 Med. 44- 20- 8 dated 5th April ~~1924~~ 1923 with retrospective effect from 27th July 1920, need not be given effect to prior to 3rd April 1923, I have th honour to detail in the margin the increase of pay drawn by the Lady Sub Assistant Surgeons from 27th July 1920 to 2nd April 1923 and to request that you will be so good as to arrange for the recovery of the amount from the persons concerned and intimate the fact to this office at an early date.

Margin.

1.Mrs. A. Paul. Female Dispensary Chitadrug. From 27th July 1920 to end of August 1921 at Rs. 25/- per mensem and at Rs. 18/- per mensem from 1st September 1921 to end of 2nd April 1923 — Rs. 672-7-9

2. Mrs. Ambabai, Female Dispensary,

From 27th July 1920 to 1923 at Rs.10/- per mensem except during July August and September 1921 when the increase was drawn at Rs.5/- per mensem. Rs.302-14-

3. Mrs. A. C. Murphy, Maternity Robertsonpet.

From 27th July 1920 to end of 2nd April 1923 at Rs. 5/- per mensem. Rs.166-0-10

"Copy.".

T. B. Mukherjee

Senior Surgeon.

G.R.S. VI-711

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to..... 3364

Section Med
File No. 44-20
Serial No. 14

General and Revenue Secretariat

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

. the 191

Date of issue... 2nd June 24

Signed by... M. / FRS

Read—

Govt no G. C. 1964-74/Med 52-19-3 dt 27.7.20 sanctioning a separate cadre for Lady ~~Sub Asst Surgeons~~ Medical Grade and prescribing ~~the~~ pay of Lady Sub Asst Surgeons at Rs 50.-5/3-90 with two higher appointments on Rs 100/- each ~~Order Thereon No~~ per mensem

2. Govt no G. 6804-57/Med 44-20-8 dt 3rd/5th April 24 sanctioning the allocation of the Lady Sub Asst Surgeons in the several grades sanctioned therein with your order read above

3.

May this be

Printed

treated as a standing order ?

published in the Gazette, English Part ?

translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

18)

5. Pp. no S 211 / 1911 CR

1. 20-12 & 28th / 28th Jan

Pursuing the dates on which
the Sub-Asst Surgeons would get
next increments / ~~fall due~~
& decreasing rate increase
pay for the period prior to
1st April 23 need not be given
otherwise.

4 Correspondence ending with
letter no Gr. 83-11 / 21-21
18-3-21 from the Senior Surgeon in Mysore
forwarding / stating that the increase of
pay for the period prior to 31st April 23
drawn / by Miss A Paul,
Miss Amba Bai and Miss A.
Murphy amounting to ~~Rs 68-15-6~~ 1141-3-3.
in all has been objected to by
the Comptroller as the same has
not been sanctioned in the 31
of the first order cited above
and requesting that, as the
increments were drawn on the
authority of the order,
the Comptroller may be authorised
to waive the claim for refund
of the amounts in question.

LIST OF ENCLOSURES.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1744—GPB —80,000 —17-3 19[illegible]

Urgent no